

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,651	CHESKY, SHELDON R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John M. Petruncio	1751	

All Participants:

(1) John M. Petruncio.

(2) J. Mitchell Jones.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 102, 103, ODP

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

primary references

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached as to allowable subject matter

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,651	Applicant(s) CHESKY, SHELDON R.	
	Examiner John M. Petruncio	Art Unit 1751	

All Participants:

(1) Dr. Yogendra Gupta, SPE.

(2) J. Mitchell Jones.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

primary reference

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr Gupta discuss claims 13 and 16 in view of the prior art US Pat. 5,549,839 and advised attorney to limit the claims with respect to concentration of surfactant to 2 to 12%.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)